

Appendix 1 – Stability

We calculate the Jacobian matrix $Df_{\mathbf{w}}$, for a fixed vector \mathbf{w} :

Lemma 0.1. $Df_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{I} + \gamma [\mathbf{C} - 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{I}]$

Proof. Call $g(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}$, so $f(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} + \gamma(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} - g(\mathbf{w}))$

$$g_i(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})w_i$$

If $i \neq j$:

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial w_j}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left(\sum_{k,l} C_{kl} w_k w_l \right) w_i = 2 \left(\sum_k C_{kj} w_k \right) w_i = 2[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}]_j w_i$$

If $i = j$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial w_i}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left(\sum_{k,l} C_{kl} w_k w_l \right) w_i + \sum_{k,l} C_{kl} w_k w_l = 2 \left(\sum_k C_{ki} w_k \right) w_i + \\ &\quad + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = 2[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}]_i w_i + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$Dg_{\mathbf{w}} = 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T + (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{I}$$

□

Take now an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B} of eigenvectors of \mathbf{C} (with respect to the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n). Fix a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{B}$. Pick any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{w}$. Call $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}$ their corresponding eigenvalues.

$$\begin{aligned} Df_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{v} + \gamma[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} - 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v}] = \\ &= \mathbf{v} + \gamma[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} - 2\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v}] = \\ &= \mathbf{v} + \gamma[\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} - 2\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} - \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{v}] = (1 - \gamma[\lambda_{\mathbf{w}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}])\mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Df_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathbf{w} + \gamma[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}] = \\ &= \mathbf{w} + \gamma[\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w} - \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w}] = \\ &= \mathbf{w} + \gamma[-2\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\|\mathbf{w}\|\mathbf{w}] = [1 - 2\gamma\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}]\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

So \mathcal{B} is also a basis of eigenvectors for $Df_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Our next goal is to generalize this argument for an iteration function that includes errors. The new model introduces an error matrix, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ that has positive entries, is symmetric and equal to the identity matrix $\mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ in case the error is zero. Moreover, we assume that $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{C}$ has strictly positive maximal eigenvalue of multiplicity one.

$$f^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} + \gamma[\mathbf{E}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}]$$

Note that the symmetric, positive definite matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ defines a dot product in \mathbb{R}^n as:

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}$$

If \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are eigenvectors of \mathbf{EC} corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \neq \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$, then they are orthogonal with respect to the dot product $\langle, \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$. Indeed:

$$\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{v} = \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{EC}\mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$$

$$\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{w} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w} \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{EC}\mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$$

Hence $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$. As $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \neq \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$, it follows that $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} = 0$, hence \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are orthogonal with respect to the given dot product.

A fixed point for $f^{\mathbf{E}}$ is a vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1 \dots w_n)^T$ such that $\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}$. In other words, \mathbf{w} is fixed by $f^{\mathbf{E}}$ if and only if it is an eigenvector of \mathbf{EC} (with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$), normalized such that $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{C}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$. Clearly, this is possible if and only if $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}} > 0$.

$$\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{w} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w}, \quad \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{C}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$$

If the multiplicity of $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$ is one, then \mathbf{w} is orthogonal in $\langle, \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$ to all other eigenvectors of \mathbf{EC} .

Recall that

$$Df_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{I} + \gamma[\mathbf{EC} - 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w})\mathbf{I}]$$

Take \mathbf{w} to be a fixed point of $f^{\mathbf{E}}$. \mathbf{w} will hence be an eigenvector of \mathbf{EC} , with eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}) > 0$. Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} Df_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{w} + \gamma[\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})^T \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}] = \\ &= \mathbf{w} + \gamma[-2\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}] = [1 - 2\gamma\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}]\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Df_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{v} + \gamma[\mathbf{EC}\mathbf{v} - 2\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{v} - \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{v}] = \\ &= \mathbf{v} + \gamma[(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{v} - 2\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{w}] = (1 - \gamma[\lambda_{\mathbf{w}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}])\mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

for any other eigenvector \mathbf{v} of \mathbf{EC} with eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} \neq \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$:

As in the error free case, $Df_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{E}}$ has all eigenvalues less than one in absolute value if and only if $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the principal eigenvalue of \mathbf{EC} and $\gamma < \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}}$.

To complete our mathematical discussion, we argue that the condition on \mathbf{EC} to have a unique maximal eigenvalue (i.e. a principal eigenspace of dimension one) is not unrealistically strict, and that \mathbf{EC} has this property generically often. We will prove a somewhat stronger result. We start by assuming, without loss of genericity, that \mathbf{C} has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n > 0$. We will show that, via some assumptions which are also generically true, \mathbf{EC} has also distinct eigenvalues.

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & \epsilon & \cdot & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & Q & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \epsilon & \cdot & \epsilon & Q \end{pmatrix} = (Q - \epsilon)\mathbf{I} + [\epsilon] = (1 - n\epsilon)\mathbf{I} + [\epsilon]$$

where $[\epsilon]$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries ϵ . We take $0 \leq \epsilon < 1/n$ (i.e., positive error ϵ smaller than the trivial value). We want to see what conditions need to be met in order to have a unique maximal eigenvalue for the modified covariance matrix \mathbf{EC} .

Since \mathbf{C} is symmetric and positive semidefinite, there exists an orthogonal matrix \mathbf{P} (i.e., $\mathbf{PP}^T = \mathbf{P}^T\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$), so that $\mathbf{PCP}^T = \mathbf{D}$, where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix. Without losing genericity, we can assume that \mathbf{P} is such that the sum of the entries along each of its rows is nonzero.

Under these assumptions, we can proceed to calculate the eigenvalues of \mathbf{PECP}^T , since these will be the same as the eigenvalues of \mathbf{EC} . (Indeed, if \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of with eigenvalue μ , then $\mathbf{P}^T\mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of \mathbf{EC} , and conversely.) To do this, we first simplify the form of \mathbf{PECP}^T , then calculate its characteristic polynomial:

$$\mathbf{PECP}^T = \mathbf{PEP}^T\mathbf{PCP}^T = \left((1 - n\epsilon)\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{P}[\epsilon]\mathbf{P}^T \right) \mathbf{D}$$

For each $j = \overline{1, n}$, we call $r_j = \sum_{k=1}^n p_{kj}$ the sum of the entries on the j -th row of \mathbf{P} . With this notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{PECP}^T &= \left[(1 - n\epsilon)\mathbf{I} + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} r_1^2 & r_1 r_2 & \cdot & r_1 r_n \\ r_2 r_1 & r_2^2 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ r_n r_1 & \cdot & \cdot & r_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 - n\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(ar_1^2 + 1) & \lambda_2 ar_1 r_2 & \cdot & \lambda_n ar_1 r_n \\ \lambda_1 ar_2 r_1 & \lambda_2(ar_2^2 + 1) & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_1 ar_n r_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_n(ar_n^2 + 1) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{\epsilon}{1 - n\epsilon}$, for $0 < \epsilon < 1/n$.

The eigenvalues of \mathbf{PECP}^T (which are also the eigenvalues of \mathbf{EC} are proportional to the roots of the polynomial $P(x) = \det \left(\frac{1}{1 - n\epsilon} \mathbf{PECP}^T - x\mathbf{I} \right)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1(ar_1^2 + 1) - x & \lambda_2 ar_1 r_2 & \cdot & \lambda_n ar_1 r_n \\ \lambda_1 ar_2 r_1 & \lambda_2(ar_2^2 + 1) - x & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_1 ar_n r_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_n(ar_n^2 + 1) - x \end{vmatrix} \\ &= a^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n r_1^2 r_2^2 \dots r_n^2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 - x}{a \lambda_1 r_1^2} & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \frac{\lambda_2 - x}{a \lambda_2 r_2^2} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 + \frac{\lambda_n - x}{a \lambda_n r_n^2} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We want to calculate $P(\lambda_j)$, for all the distinct eigenvalues λ_j of \mathbf{C} . We describe the computation for λ_n , since the others are very similar.

$$P(\lambda_n) = a^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n r_1^2 r_2^2 \dots r_n^2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_1 r_1^2} & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_2 r_2^2} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

We subtract the last row from all others, and expand along the n -th column:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\lambda_n) &= a^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n r_1^2 r_2^2 \dots r_n^2 \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_1 r_1^2} & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_2 r_2^2} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= a^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n r_1^2 r_2^2 \dots r_n^2 (-1)^{2n} \cdot \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_1 r_1^2} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_2 r_2^2} \dots \frac{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n}{a \lambda_{n-1} r_{n-1}^2} \\
&= a \lambda_n r_n^2 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) (\lambda_2 - \lambda_n) \dots (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain similar expressions for the other $P(\lambda_j)$, for $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n$ and conclude that $\text{sign}(P(\lambda_j)) = (-1)^{n-j}$, hence $P(x)$ has a root between each two consecutive eigenvalues of \mathbf{C} (these are $n - 1$ of the n -th roots of P). Moreover, since $P(\lambda_1) = (-1)^n$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P(x) = (-1)^n \infty$, then the n -th and largest root of P is between λ_1 and ∞ .

We have therefore obtained more than our desired conclusion: $P(x)$ has n positive, distinct roots, hence the matrix \mathbf{EC} has n distinct positive real eigenvalues. In particular, it has a unique maximal eigenvalue. This concludes the proof.